## PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

IMITED  opinion control  ox No. I Box No. II P	ference WOPO2	Internation 09.08.2 both nation	onal filing date (	Date of mailing (day/month/y)  FOR FUR See paragraph day/month/year) and IPC	(PCT Rung ear) see form PCTHER ACTION	date (day/month/year)
or agent's file re PCT/ISA/220 I application No. 004/003414 Patent Classific B04C5/12 IMITED  opinion controls ox No. I B ox No. I B	ference WOPO2  cation (IPC) or I	Internation 09.08.2	onal filing date (c)	Date of mailing (day/month/y)  FOR FUR See paragraph day/month/year) and IPC	(PCT Rung ear) see form PC  THER ACTION oh 2 below	EARCHING AUTHORITY ule 43 bis.1)  ET/ISA/210 (second sheet)  date (day/month/year)
PCT/ISA/220 I application No. 004/003414 Patent Classific B04C5/12 IMITED  opinion cont. ox No. I B ox No. II P	ains indication	Internation 09.08.2 both nation	2004 nal classification	FOR FUR See paragraph day/month/year)	ng ear) see form PC THER ACTION oh 2 below Priority	cT/ISA/210 (second sheet)
PCT/ISA/220 I application No. 004/003414 Patent Classific B04C5/12 IMITED  opinion cont. ox No. I B ox No. II P	ains indication	Internation 09.08.2 both nation	2004 nal classification	FOR FUR See paragraph day/month/year)	THER ACTION oh 2 below  Priority	date (day/month/year)
PCT/ISA/220 I application No. 004/003414 Patent Classific B04C5/12 IMITED  opinion cont. ox No. I B ox No. II P	ains indication	Internation 09.08.2 both nation	2004 nal classification	See paragray  day/inonth/year)  and IPC	oh 2 below Priority	date (day/month/year)
Patent Classific B04C5/12 IMITED opinion controls ox No. I B	cation (IPC) or I	09.08.2 both nation	2004 nal classification	and IPC		
IMITED  opinion control  ox No. I Box No. II P	ains indicatio	ons relati				
opinion cont ox No. I B ox No. II P	asis of the op		ing to the foll	owing items:		
ox No. I B	asis of the op		ing to the foll	owing items:		
ox No. I B	asis of the op		ing to the lon	owing items.		
ox No. II P		inion		•		
	потку					•
ox No. III 🛮 N	on-establishm	nent of on	vinion with reas	ard to novelty	inventive step ar	nd industrial applicability
	ack of unity of			ard to noverty,	inventive step at	io moustrial applications
ox No. V R	easoned state	ement und		s.1(a)(i) with re s supporting st	gard to novelty, i uch statement	inventive step or industrial
ox No. VI C	ertain docume	ents cited	l			
ox No. VII C	ertain defects	in the int	ernational app	lication	•	
ox No. VIII C	ertain observa	ations on	the internation	al application		
HER ACTION	Ι.					
n opinion of the plicant choos ational Bureau	e Internationa es an Authorit u under Rule (	al Prelimin ty other th	nary Examining nan this one to	g Authority ("IF be the IPEA a	EA"). However, and the chosen II	this does not apply where PEA has notifed the
t to the IPEA a is from the da	a written reply te of mailing c	together,	, where approp	oriate, with am	endments, befor	re the expiration of three
rther options,	see Form PC	T/ISA/220	);		9.2.05.	or 13.6.05.
rther details. s	ee notes to F	orm PCT/	/ISA/220.	•		•
	emand for intension of the plicant choose ational Bureau to be so considered to the IPEA as from the dailever expires latther options,	n opinion of the International plicant chooses an Authoriational Bureau under Rule of the so considered.  opinion is, as provided about to the IPEA a written reply s from the date of mailing operer expires later.	emand for international preliminary expression of the International Preliminary explicant chooses an Authority other thational Bureau under Rule 66.1 bis(b) at be so considered.  opinion is, as provided above, considered to the IPEA a written reply together a from the date of mailing of Form Pever expires later.	emand for international preliminary examination is report of the International Preliminary Examining plicant chooses an Authority other than this one to ational Bureau under Rule 66.1 bis(b) that written out be so considered.  Opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a vert to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate of the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or	emand for international preliminary examination is made, this opin opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IF plicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA attional Bureau under Rule 66.1 bis(b) that written opinions of this it be so considered.  opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with am s from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expever expires later.	emand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will usually be a opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA"). However, uplicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen it ational Bureau under Rule 66.1 bis(b) that written opinions of this International Section to be so considered.  Opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 mosever expires later.  Atther options, see Form PCT/ISA/220.

Name and mailing address of the ISA:

European Patent Office D-80298 Munich Tel. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 523656 epmu d Fax: +49 89 2399 - 4465

Authorized Officer

Papadimitriou, S

Telephone No. +49 89 2399-2760



# WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

IAP9 Rec'd PCT/PTO 26 JAN 2006 international application No. PCT/GB2004/003414

	•		
_	Вох	No. I Basis of the opinion	
1.	With the I	n regard to the <b>language</b> , this opinion has been established on the basis of the international applicational language in which it was field, unless otherwise indicated under this item.	cation in
		This opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the language , which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international sea (under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)).	following arch
2.	With nece	n regard to any <b>nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence</b> disclosed in the international application essary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:	and
	a. ty	pe of material:	
		a sequence listing	٠
		table(s) related to the sequence listing	
	b. fo	rmat of material:	
		in written format	
		in computer readable form	
	c. tim	ne of filing/furnishing:	
		contained in the international application as filed.	
		filed together with the international application in computer readable form.	
		furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.	
3.	ľ	In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or add copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, appropriate, were furnished.	itional
4.	Addit	tional comments:	

# WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/GB2004/003414

		, 2.
Box	No. II	Priority
1: 🖾	The following document has not been furnished:	
•	$\boxtimes$	copy of the earlier application whose priority has been claimed (Rule 43bis.1 and 66.7(a)).
		translation of the earlier application whose priority has been claimed (Rule 43bis.1 and 66.7(b)).
		quently it has not been possible to consider the validity of the priority claim. This opinion has neless been established on the assumption that the relevant date is the claimed priority date.
	has be	pinion has been established as if no priority had been claimed due to the fact that the priority claim en found invalid (Rules 43 <i>bis</i> .1 and 64.1). Thus for the purposes of this opinion, the international ate indicated above is considered to be the relevant date.
3. Add	itional o	bservations, if necessary:

# WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/GB2004/003414

Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability						
The questions whether the claimed invention appears to be novel, to involve an inventive step (to be non obvious), or to be industrially applicable have not been examined in respect of:						
	the entire international application,					
区	claims Nos. 23					
be	cause:					
	the said international application does not require an internation		r the said claims Nos. relate to the following subject matter which eliminary examination (specify):			
⊠	the description, claims or draw unclear that no meaningful opin	ings nion	(indicate particular elements below) or said claims Nos. 23 are so could be formed (specify):			
	see separate sheet		•			
	the claims, or said claims Nos. could be formed.	are :	so inadequately supported by the description that no meaningful opinion			
	no international search report h	as b	een established for the whole application or for said claims Nos.			
	the nucleotide and/or amino aci C of the Administrative Instruct	id se ions	quence listing does not comply with the standard provided for in Annex in that:			
	the written form		has not been furnished			
			does not comply with the standard			
	the computer readable form		has not been furnished			
			does not comply with the standard			
	the tables related to the nucleon not comply with the technical re	tide a equire	and/or amino acid sequence listing, if in computer readable form only, do ements provided for in Annex C-bis of the Administrative Instructions.			
	See separate sheet for further of	detail	is · · ·			

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or prindustrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

· Novelty (N)

Yes: Claims

1-22,24

No:

Yes: Claims

Claims

1-22,24

No:

o: Claims

Industrial applicability (IA)

Inventive step (IS)

Yes: Claims

1-22,24

No: Claims

2. Citations and explanations

see separate sheet

Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application

The following defects in the form or contents of the international application have been noted:

see separate sheet

#### Re Item III

Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability

### 1. Independent claim 23

Claim 23 only contains references to the description and/or the drawings. According to Rule 6.2(a) PCT, claims should not contain such references except where absolutely necessary, which is not the case here.

#### Re Item V

Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

#### 1. State of the art

Reference is made to the following documents:

D1: WO-A-03030702 D2: US-A-2001042283

### 2. Independent claim 1

Closest prior art: Document D1 showing a cyclonic separator (7) comprising a separating chamber (cf. figs. 3 and 4) an inlet conduit (13) and an outlet conduit (14). The outlet conduit (14) comprises perforated portions equipped with holes (18) which are said to prevent noise and to avoid pressure drops.

**Distinguishing features**: the outlet conduit (20) is equipped with a plurality of grooves (24) formed in an interior surface of the outlet conduit and extending in the same direction as the longitudinally axis (26) of the outlet conduit.

**Technical effect**: reduction in the amount of noise generated by the cyclonic apparatus during use; pressure recovery in the cleaned airflow passing through the outlet conduit.

**Objective technical problem**: an alternative noise suppression and pressure recovery arrangement to that of D1.

**Inventive step**: D2 only teaches to provide the exterior of an outlet pipe (143) with spiral grooves to enhance centrifugal action (cf. par. 38).

**Industrial applicability:** The claimed apparatus is susceptible of use in an industrial environment.

Conclusion: Consequently, the subject-matter of independent claim 1 complies with the provisions of Article 33, paragraphs (2)(3)(4) PCT.

#### 3. Dependent claims 2-22,24

Dependent claims 2-22 and 24, when appendant on any of claims 1-22, define various embodiments of the apparatus specified in independent claim 1 and as such also comply with the provisions of Article 33 paragraphs (2)(3)(4) PCT.

#### Re Item VII

Certain defects in the international application

#### 1. All claims

The features of the claims are not provided with reference signs placed in parentheses (Rule 6.2(b) PCT).

#### 2. <u>Independent claim 1</u>

Independent claim 1 is not in the two-part form in accordance with Rule 6.3(b) PCT, which in the present case would be appropriate, with those features known in combination from the prior art (document D1) being placed in the preamble (Rule 6.3(b)(i) PCT) and with the remaining features being included in the characterising part (Rule 6.3(b)(ii) PCT).

#### 3. Description

Contrary to the requirements of Rule 5.1(a)(ii) PCT, the relevant background art disclosed in the document D1 is not mentioned in the description, nor is this document identified therein.

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

International application No.

PCT/GB2004/003414